## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF BETH P. GESNER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 101 W. LOMBARD STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 (410) 962-4288 (410) 962-3844 FAX

October 21, 2003

Paul D. Bekman, Esquire Salsbury, Clements, Bekman, Marder & Adkins LLC 300 W. Pratt Street, Suite 450 Baltimore, Maryland 21201

David Franklin Ryder, Esquire Martin, Donnelly, Grimaldi & Gallagher Nine North Adams Street Rockville, Maryland 20850

Douglas W. Biser, Esquire Mudd, Harrison & Burch 105 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Suite 300 Towson, Maryland 21204 Andrew Janquitto, Esquire 105 West Chesapeake Avenue Suite 300 Towson, Maryland 21204

Russell Fields, Esquire Simmons, Fields & Fanshaw 1 North Charles Street, Suite 20 Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Subject: Nancy J. Whalen v. Nucar Connection, Inc., et al.

<u>Civil No. JKB-02-191</u>

## Dear Counsel:

Please be advised that a settlement conference in the above-captioned case has been scheduled for **Friday, December 5, 2003 at 9:30 a.m. to be held in my chambers** (Room 7C, United States Courthouse, Baltimore, Maryland). It is essential that the parties, or in the case of a corporation or partnership, an officer or other representative with complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, be present in person. Attendance by the attorney for a party is <u>not</u> sufficient. *See* Local Rule 607.3. **Please also be advised that the conference may take the entire day.** 

No later than **Friday, November 21, 2003**, I would like to receive from each party a short letter candidly setting forth the following:

- 1. Facts you believe you can prove at trial;
- 2. The major weaknesses in each side's case, both factual and legal;

Case 1:02-cv-00191-JKB Document 48 Filed 10/21/2003 Page 2 of 2

Letter to Counsel - Nancy J. Whalen v. Nucar Connection, Inc., et al. Page Two
October 21, 2003

- 3. An evaluation of the maximum and minimum damage awards you believe likely;
- 4. The history of any settlement negotiations to date; and
- 5. Estimate of attorney's fees and costs of litigation through trial.

The letters may be submitted <u>ex parte</u> and will be solely for my use in preparing for the settlement conference. I also will review the pleadings in the court file. Additionally, if you want me to review any case authorities that you believe are critical to your evaluation of the case, please identify. If you want me to review any exhibits or deposition excerpts, please attach a copy to your letter.<sup>1</sup>

The settlement conference process will be confidential and disclosure of confidential dispute resolution communications is prohibited. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 652(d); Local Rule 607.4.

Notwithstanding the informal nature of this letter, it is an Order of the Court and the Clerk is directed to docket it as such.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Beth P. Gesner United States Magistrate Judge

cc: Court file

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note that the American Bar Association Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility has issued a Formal Opinion (No. 93-370) that precludes a lawyer, ABSENT INFORMED CLIENT CONSENT, from revealing to a judge the limits of the lawyer's settlement authority or the lawyer's advice to the client regarding settlement. The opinion does not preclude a judge, in seeking to facilitate a settlement, from inquiring into those matters. Therefore, please discuss these items with your client before appearing for the settlement conference.